# Sociology of everyday life



Devorah Kalekin-Fishman

University of Haifa

**abstract** Everyday life has inspired much sociological theory and is now a recognized branch of the discipline. Here we trace evidence of the salience of everyday life in general sociological theory, look critically at theories specific to everyday life and then survey recent research. In closing, we look to the future of the field.

**keywords** body ◆ emotions ◆ everyday life ◆ ideology ◆ normalcy ◆ senses ◆ urban experiences

## Theoretical approaches

Although everyday life is the core focus of anthropology, it is relatively new as an explicit concern to sociologists. In sociology, however, the theme has emerged in two ways. On the one hand, among most theoreticians in the discipline, the properties of everyday life have been taken for granted in abstract reasoning about the social. On the other, everyday life is an object of research which has increasingly come into its own with the postmodern turn in sociology.

## Everyday life in general sociological theories

For a long time 'everyday life' was the elephant (Zerubavel, 2006) in the realm of the 'normal' – used by early sociologists in their theories but ignored as a theme. Focusing on establishing the existence of society as an object of scientific enquiry, sociologists discussed the whys and hows of science, of government, of industrialization; the effects of social structure on consciousness and on social relations. In all of these the point of the study and the 'gross presence' (Zerubavel's elephant) ignored was what these stupendous events meant to people carrying on with 'everyday life'. Thus Rousseau (2007 [1762]) based his conceptualization of the social contract and his vision of the good society on a perception that the family, embedded in everyday life, was the 'natural' form of social organization. Adam Smith (1937 [1776]) analysed the social division of labour which served individuals' 'everyday' needs. Similarly, throughout

his writings, Durkheim explains the division of labour (1984 [1893]), the forms of religious life (1965 [1912]) and the effects of anomie (1951 [1897]) in terms of everyday life with examples from relations in families and in communities. Central to Marx's (1975 [1844]; Marx and Engels, 1975 [1848]) concern with the evils of the capitalist system was the perception that because of the work conditions it demanded, there is a 'loss of self' which destroys ties of family and friends. People are left with concerns (eating, drinking, procreating) which are the aspects of everyday life that are not fully human.

In developing a sociology of knowledge, Mannheim (1935) distinguished between ideology, persistent ways of thought inapplicable to a given era and utopia, which transcends reality but can guide people in everyday life. Adorno and Horkheimer (1972) of the Frankfurt School, denounced the deprivation to which people are subjected when they accept conventional ideologies. Looking for mechanisms of such deprivation, Gramsci (1992) pointed out that states use education and regulation to impart ways of (everyday) life with a commitment to the hegemonic ideology.

Parsons' (1949) theory of social action attempts to explain how the decisions of individuals (role incumbents) as to goals and norms determine the quality of social structure in everyday life. Merton (1968) emphasized a methodological consequence and recommended that research be based on confirmable

Sociopedia.isa
© 2011 The Author(s)
© 2011 ISA (Editorial Arrangement of *Sociopedia.isa*)

Devorah Kalekin-Fishman, 2011, 'Sociology of everyday life', Sociopedia.isa, DOI: 10.1177/205684601161



theories of the middle range, derived from realistic day-to-day experience.

Giddens (1993), who sought to connect a notion of human action with a structural explanation, insisted that the social world is the skilled accomplishment of active human subjects. Theorizing contemporary times as 'late modernity', Giddens describes processes of displacement and re-embedding which disclose the intersection of abstract systems and knowledge acquired in the everyday through contrasting processes: estrangement and familiarity; intimacy and impersonality; personal trust and impersonal ties; expertise and reappropriation; privatism and engagement, as well as the intersection of pragmatic acceptance and activism (see Sztompka, 1999, 2008).

## Theorizing everyday life directly

In the dominating western sociologies (German, French and American), the foundational concern is with *language*, *rules*, *positions*, or *performance* as the decisive formative mechanism for shaping everyday life.

German sociologists: At the turn of the 20th century, Simmel (1971) interpreted the task of sociology as that of describing and finding the rules for people's 'being-together' (sociation). His goal was to compile a formal description of how, in the everyday, groups act as units at the same time as individuals act to establish their uniqueness. Walter Benjamin saw in everyday life (Aktualität) the basis for understanding historical events. In his view, the world of the everyday was not only the arena of human action (performance), but also the heart of human thought. Every idea (language) about history contains an image of the world and an image of the body in more or less familiar space (see Leslie, 1988; Wiegel, 1996). According to Habermas (1987 [1981]), the 'lifeworld' (Lebenswelt) is the space within a person's reach, which includes the allocation of time to the performance of the daily routine; as well as of the social, which, beginning with reference groups and the family, extends to the community, the nation and world society. Because there is an internal connection between the structure of the lifeworld and the language of worldviews, it is possible to envision an ideal lifeworld in which discourse is undistorted by ideology. He recognizes, however, that in practice the lifeworld increasingly surrenders to regulation in which private life, the family and intimate relations are distorted by rules imposed by the expanding power of bureaucracy, the influence of corporate capitalism and mass consumption.

British sociologists: The necessarily unfinished project of modernity is interpreted as the civilizing process which is ongoing in everyday life (Elias, 1994). Expressing the emotional and rational impulses of individuals, actions in the everyday interweave constantly in a friendly or hostile way, so that they are ineluctably interdependent. Hence, there arises an unplanned patterned order which is more compelling than the will and reason of the individuals who compose it. Constrained to regulate conduct in an increasingly differentiated, even and stable manner, people adjust their psychic reactions to the changed social structure. As time goes on the entire social mould, the code of conduct with its explicit and implicit rules, changes; and so does the structure of how individuals steer themselves in everyday life.

In the UK, the study of everyday life as an explicit theme in cultural studies had its roots in the work of social historians such as EP Thompson (1964), who studied the lives of workers, and Raymond Williams (1958), who focused on the importance of culture as an ongoing accomplishment of human beings conducting their everyday lives. Developed and theorized most notably at the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies (CCCS) at the University of Birmingham, critiques of cultural practices and performances were investigated as underpinning the racism, genderism and classism pervasive in everyday life.

French sociologists: In France, Henri Lefebvre and Michel de Certeau analysed everyday life explicitly through the lens of Marxist theory. Analysing everyday life as an urban phenomenon, Lefebvre (2003) saw this as the site of people's victimization by capitalism, the realization of inescapable alienation. For him, all uses of language are a form of terrorism; they impose unquestioned rules that regulate actions in everyday life. The process is hidden from view because in the evolving 'bureaucratic society of controlled consumption', everyday life is 'the spacetime of voluntary programmed self-regulation'. Affecting men, women, adolescents and intellectuals in different but consistently insidious ways, only a revolution in perception can overcome this type of terror (see also Debord, 1983). Still, in work published between 1960 and 2005, Lefebvre recognized the inherent ambiguities of baseness and exuberance, spiritual poverty and energetic creativity that characterize everyday life, disclosing the tension between how everyday life is structured and how, for all that, it is experienced. It is this tension which sustains the myth that the everyday is 'natural', but also gives hope of ultimate change for the good (Lefebvre, 2003).



De Certeau (1984), on the other hand, insisted on the centrality of human agency, and saw everyday life as a site with opportunities for spontaneity and possibilities for diverse outcomes. He highlighted the aesthetic pleasure to be derived from the beauty of the unforeseen actions that make up much of daily living. While the capitalist classes worked out institutionalized, definitive 'strategies' of domination, the subjectively driven everyday actions of the working class should, to his mind, be read as resourceful 'tactics', sparks of effective resistance.

Foucault and Bourdieu dealt with everyday life as it is imprinted in the body. As the knowledgeable superstructure of a whole series of power networks, the Foucauldian state is seen as structuring the everyday experiences of the body and sexuality as well as of family and kinship, knowledge and technology (Foucault, 1980, 1982, 1984). Ironically, to his mind, the disciplinary mechanisms that permeate everyday life are inevitable, constituting the 'dark side' of the coded and formally egalitarian juridical framework characteristic of a representative parliamentary regime. For Bourdieu (1977, 1990), 'habitus', embodied history, is imbibed and expressed in the interrelated workings of different kinds of capital - social and symbolic as well as economic. Thus, habitus is the principle that governs how constituents of position govern choices among persons, goods, practices and opinions in everyday life.

American sociologists: In the US, theoretical interest in everyday life has tended to focus on details of human relations as heralded in Mead's (1934) theorization of how the self is formed in family interaction and broadens to fit into the rule-governed games that make up social life. Here, details of performance are the focus of theorizing the everyday (Blumer, 1969) and a justification for the naturalistic study of how persons interpret situations and mutually signal intended meanings, the programme of symbolic interactionism.

Schütz (1967) and Berger and Luckmann (1972), major influences on symbolic interactionism, focused on mechanisms that underpin interaction in everyday life. Tracing sociological understanding as a development going beyond common sense, Schütz showed the importance of 'because' and 'in order to' motives in governing action; he also pointed out the central significance of 'and so forth' in signalling community in ongoing interaction. Berger and Luckmann (1972) discussed how evolving meanings served to institutionalize typical actions as 'recipe knowledge' which is governed by general principles likely, as well, to hold transcendental implications. By contrast, Homans (1959) based himself on behaviourist psychology

and studied determinants of interaction in everyday life as *rules* of social exchange, i.e. tracking gains and losses of material and affective goods.

In the Presentation of Self in Everyday Life, Goffman (1959) developed a new approach by demonstrating analogies between everyday life and theatre performances. He examined contrasts between 'front stage' and 'backstage' role behaviour exhaustively. In later works, Goffman underlined how mutual understanding is governed by the unintentional as well as the intentional disclosure of information. He investigated components of dramatic relations and their applicability to different milieus by intertwining theory with empirical observation (Goffman, 1997). Raising questions about the often inexplicit, but no less constraining rules that govern everyday life for groups that share a structured context, Garfinkel (1967) initiated meticulous examinations of everyday life. Maffesoli (1987: 1) defines the epistemological elements of everyday life as components of sociality, which 'involves organic solidarity, the symbolic dimension (communication), the "non-logical" (Pareto), and a concern for the present'. He suggests that the puzzles posed by Schütz's concern with how science relates to common sense and by Garfinkel's explications of ethnomethods can be solved by a focus on banalities which are sedimented to 'constitute the essence of existence' (Maffesoli, 1987: 3).

Minorities: Theorization of the everyday in the lives of Blacks points to how language works to preserve relationships of master and slave between Whites and Blacks (Fanon, 1967 [1952]). Following on the theorization of Dorothy Smith (1988), Collins (1998) insists on the importance of social conditions in determining the position of Black women and thus shaping their subordination. She also points to the interdependence between the everyday, taken-for-granted knowledge of African-American women as a group and the knowledge produced by Black women intellectuals. Thus she extends Smith's (1988) insistence that looking at the social from a woman's standpoint produces an alternative sociology because that is the standpoint which problematizes the everyday world. By beginning with the world of the everyday one can establish what it means to live in different locations (geographical and structural), and how positions affect the constitution of knowledge. Usually, knowledge of the everyday that is attributed to women is undervalued and underexploited. According to Cope (2004), however, theorizing on the basis of research in places as far-flung as Argentina, India and Kenya, women can create spaces for political action by using socially embedded verbal and non-verbal codes to high-



light grievances, and by learning how to ensure that the everyday political acts of their *position* have an impact on *performance* in communities and even on the level of the nation.

## **Empirical studies**

From the diversity of theoretical approaches to everyday life it is clear that this area of study has no single empirical orientation. While many studies seek to examine everyday life as a field of struggle, others approach the domain of the everyday as a focus of interest that is basically indifferent to the macro-dynamics of the social, which frame all fields of sociological interest.

### Political issues in everyday life

For one thing, a good deal of the theoretical writing on everyday life is intertwined with empirical research. Foucault, for example, drew on historical methods in order to show how contingencies that arise in mundane relationships are closely related to macro-issues of power. His detailed description of the panopticon, for example – a tower hidden from the eyes of the 'inmates', who are hidden from one another - forms the basis for his description of the evolution of systems of punishment in prisons, in poor houses, even in clinics. Discipline (including disciplines in knowledge) defines the tactics of power which are not only expressed in macro-relations, but also invade people's micro-bodily adjustments to one another in patterns of gestures and rhythms. In states where discipline is defended as the only means for meeting what are interpreted as constant threats to national security, the pervasive militarization of everyday life can, it has been argued, only be countered by feminist cross-border solidarities (Enloe, 2007; Mohanty, 2011).

Interpreting politics as the ways in which apparently spontaneous human contacts can lead to the revision of an entire system of relationships in any given milieu, Edgar Morin (1971) led a research group that spent several months in the city of Orleans tracing the dissemination of an anti-Semitic rumour. They noted everyday contacts, modes of action and the contents of communication in homes, schools, shops, as well as in the street. Because they were interested in the diverse connections among adolescents and adults of both sexes, the group engaged in interviews as well as in observations. In this case, Morin intentionally avoided organizing the research design in advance, and decisions as to what kinds of methods to deploy and when were made in situ. Thus, the relative impulsiveness of the methods reflected what could be

presumed to be the spontaneity of people's performances in their daily lives, performances which *nolens* volens carry out political agendas.

Defining the basis for examining everyday life as an investigation of three aspects of the field: orality how people speak to one another; operations - assessing culture by how it works and not by its products; and the ordinary, De Certeau (1984; De Certeau et al., 1998) explored subject-initiated micro-politics as systematic resistance. Together with Luce Giard and Pierre Mayol (1998), he led groups of ethnographic researchers who investigated orality and everyday operations in a workers' neighbourhood in Lyon; and in repetitive ordinary practices such as cooking. The investigations show how, under the apparent repetition of using objects, the ordinary hides a diversity of contexts, situations and also interests that reflect how people insert themselves into political positions (De Certeau et al., 1998: 251-6). In her study of how performances in everyday life are impacted and mapped by sounds, Kalekin-Fishman (2010) undertakes to point to different aspects of the interplay between macro-politics as strategic permanent social arrangements and micro-politics, unconscious reactions in which resistance is often embedded. Cohen and Taylor (1993 [1976]) examined explicit types of resistance to punitive institutions by studying crime and deviance as escape attempts, blatant as resistance to everyday life.

#### Social critique

In England, the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies at the University of Birmingham, headed by Stuart Hall, was the proponent of critical ethnographic studies. They explored the effects of deprivation mediated or unmediated, in the everyday lives of women, shop assistants and high school students (see, for example, CCCS Women's Study Group, 1978; Clarke et al., 1979; Hall and Jefferson, 2006 [1975]; Hall et al., 2005 [1980]; Willis, 1977, 1978; see also Dolby et al., 2004). All the studies focus on how cultural codes can undermine the true interests of deprived groups. With 'institutional ethnography' as a method for carrying out a deliberate 'social justice agenda', the Canadian Dorothy Smith (2005) elaborated on CCCS insights. Exploring codes formulated to ensure structural efficiency, she argued that by examining texts governing work activities from the point of view of those whose employment is framed by them, ethnographic researchers can (and should) draw conclusions that enable them to design interventions for the benefit of the people involved (see Campbell, 2002).

In the US, ethnography was deployed from the early decades of the 20th century as a basis for social critique. The sociology department at the University



of Chicago (led by Mead, Thomas, Park and Burgess, with the philosophical support of Dewey between 1917 and 1942) educated generations of students to use a 'flexible theory of everyday life' in order to develop an understanding of how conformism and non-conformism are constructed (Deegan, 2001: 19). Chicago researchers observed the everyday lives of workers, hoboes, strip-teasers and delinquents as well as minority groups, thus highlighting the multiple channels available in US cities for perpetuating deprivation (Anderson, 1923; Cressey, 1932; Donovan, 1929; Frazier, 1932; Hiller, 1928; Shaw and Moore, 1931).

In recent research, there has been increased concern with the deprivation of migrants and the differential effects of everyday life on perpetuating such deprivation. Some studies focus on the degree to which immigrants are capable of adjusting to everyday life and the factors that ease or aggravate the difficulties (Keene, 2008; Song, 2010). In some cases, everyday life in residential areas where natives also live eases the traumas of immigration while contact with the native population at work promises better results for earnings (Strömgen et al., 2011; Tammaru et al., 2010). The daily lives of temporary immigrant workers are exemplary of the degree to which employers allow themselves to take advantage. However, even in cases where exploitation is extensive, there are examples of how migrant agricultural workers manage to use their knowledge of employers' everyday lives to attain some significant gains. Yet, familiarity with everyday life does not assure an escape from 'being different'. Adolescent children of immigrants in Italy showed that their knowledge of the Italian way of life provided them with information as to how they were 'different' (Colombo, 2010). Ambiguities of how integration is effected along with specific group hostilities seem to explain the tendency of immigrants to have a relatively low level of life satisfaction (Safi, 2010).

### Everyday life in urban settings

According to Lefebvre, the city is the locus in which the concept of everyday life is realized to the full. Indeed, as noted earlier (see references to the Chicago School and to the CCCS at the University of Birmingham), analyses of everyday life in urban settings have been important in shedding light on mechanisms of deprivation and oppression. Among others, the city is a complex of materialities, many of which can be shown to be active participants in shaping the social, in taming elements of the 'natural' environment, as well as in establishing links with entities far beyond the state, or national territory to which it officially belongs (Bridge and Watson, 2011). Looking at urban space as 'the simultaneity

of stories so far' (Massey, 2005: 9), Terry (2010), like Tuan Yi-fu (1977) examines urban space as part of people's everyday experience. Tensions are inevitable because of the different constructions of reality that burgeon in experiences of everyday life. Among others, tourism highlights how wide-ranging these tensions can be. Meschkank's (2011) research into slum tourism in Mumbai explores extremes to show how the traffic of tourists and their reconstructions of the lives they observe can indeed affect everyday life in the areas visited.

Urban living, moreover, presents constant challenges; because of the diversity of place, of social groups, of functions, everyday life is never of a piece. At a conference on 'Everyday Life in the Segmented City' (Florence, Italy, July 2010), researchers presented papers on cities positioned variously in the global economy, to show how the distribution of spaces in city living is governed by political and economic conditions. Among the cities noted for diverse types of segmentation were, for example, Nairobi and Caracas (Boniburini and Moretto, 2010), Rio de Janeiro (Andrade et al., 2010; Fessler Vaz and Silveira, 2010) and Tbilisi (Gorlashvili, 2010), as well as transformed European cities (see, for example, Ilkay, 2010; Pawlikowska-Piechotka, 2010; for literary references, see also Byrne, 2010; Olson, 2011). From Africa, Mbembe and Nuttall (2004) analyse the urban 'from an African metropolis'.

Politics, hardship, conflict and violence are not foreign to everyday life in segmented cities. Jackson and Carter (2010), for example, look at Fascist symbols that are part of what one cannot avoid seeing in conducting one's everyday life in Trieste in contemporary Italy. Thus, while the official political forms are democratic, the urban scene is inescapably beset with proposals of alternative orientations. Looking at intimate experiences, Shevchenko (2009) describes how crises penetrated routines of work, leisure and private life in the everyday life of 'post-socialist' Moscow. Even in 'post-conflict' Belfast, as Smyth and McKnight (2011) show, ethno-nationality, gender and social class interact in the everyday constituting reminders of the possibilities of renewing the conflict. These are not hazards restricted to specific localities. Guridy and Burgos (2010) look at how gender affects everyday life in 'Latina/o America', and, among others, gender plays an important part in everyday violence in urban areas of Brazil (Scheper-Hughes, 1992). There are also studies of how young people manage their everyday lives in cities (see especially: Hansen et al., 2010). The uniqueness of urban milieus for studying everyday life sociologically has, however, been challenged. Rigg (2002) shows that it is meaningful to deploy sociological concepts for analysing everyday life in



non-urban milieus as well, and he looks at rural areas of the global south – in Ethiopia, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Laos, Papua New Guinea, Peru and Pakistan (see also Connell, 2007).

## Popular culture and the media in everyday life

Because he viewed culture as 'collective ways or manners of thinking and doing', De Certeau directed studies that enquired into styles and fashions of popular culture (De Certeau, 1984). Increasingly, popular culture has become identified with the media to which it has become commonplace to attribute wide-ranging changes in everyday life. Systematic research sheds light on the actual mechanisms by which television, the cinema, the internet and mobile phones affect everyday life. Silverstone (1994), for example, published a comprehensive investigation of how television invades and changes everyday life, while serving as a source of informal learning (Grummell, 2010). Studies show that the cinema still contributes to shaping everyday life, especially in urban networks (Braesler and Tweedie, 2010). The internet in everyday life has been examined in relation to politics as well as in regard to the creation of global inequalities - the global divide between haves and have-nots (Franklin, 2004; 2009; Small, 2009; Wellman Schulz, Haythornthwaite, 2002) - but also in relation to ongoing education (see, for example, Barbieri and Giacché, 2010; Pasman and Mulder, 2010; Sherman, 1997). As to the mobile phone, not only is it an important element for tracking mobilities (Büscher and Urry, 2009), but also a proud component of personal style (Stärke et al., 2011).

## Everyday life in history

Inspired by the work of Walter Benjamin, historical studies uncover unexpected aspects of everyday life in the past (see Haupt, 1983). Buck-Morris (1986) has studied the politics of loitering in the late 19th century, and Owens et al. (2010) found materials about the rhythms of the everyday in Victorian London. Other historical studies include the everyday lives of five families in Harlem in the 1920s and 1930s (Garton et al., 2010), the traditional culture of Bukharan Jews (Emelyanenko, 2010), 'the everyday life of the dead' in Mexico City (Lopez, 2010), as well as exceptionalities such as the crimes by women in Rome at the end of the 19th century (Groppi and Pelaja, 1983).

### Exploring the ordinary in everyday life

Garfinkel (1967) developed approaches to the analysis of the conventions underlying micro-interchanges which texture everyday life. He studied the adjust-

ment of a trans-sexual to the behavioural requirements that arise with gender change. He had his students perform field experiments 'at the margins', with tasks such as: bargaining in fixed-price commercial outlets and applying codes of extreme courtesy to meals shared with the family at home, or in intimate relations. The devices of adopting formal modes of discourse in what are presumed to be familiar surroundings, arguing about what has to come next in conventional situations, or asking why customs have to be followed, disclosed the complexity of the network of obligations that surround conduct in everyday life (see also Mehan and Wood, 1983). Studies of ethnomethodological practices in everyday life led to the development of narrative analysis (Sacks, 1995) and conversational analysis (see Schegloff, 2007) as relatively independent domains of research. Looking at the minute adjustments that are needed for managing everyday life, researchers have followed Goffman (1997) to study control of the body and the mobilization of emotions systematically (Featherstone, 1982; Kwan, 2010; Leidner, 1993; Nettleton and Watson, 1998; see also Ezzy, 2010 for these phenomena in the work of the social scientist). Sociological studies of memory and of sensory experiences shed new light on how macro- and micro-concerns can be bridged by attention to everyday life (see Dampier, 2005; Kalekin-Fishman and Low, 2010).

The ordinary in everyday life is highlighted in biographical research, an important example of which is that of Bertaux (1981) on Paris shopkeepers. Feminist researchers found in biographical research the way par excellence to ensure that women's voices be grasped as central to everyday life (among others, see Bell and Roberts, 1984; Cotterill and Letherby, 1993; Reinharz, 1992). It has also proved a rich resource for the systematic investigation of how people from the generation of the Hitler Jugend and people who emerged from the Holocaust have subsequently managed their everyday lives (Rosenthal, 1995, 2004). Another outgrowth of biographical research is autoethnography, an attempt to completely overthrow the chains of theory, which has been defined and realized as 'research, writing, story, method that connect the autobiographical and personal to the cultural, social and political' often using literary conventions (Ellis, 2004: xix; for autoethnography as performance, see Spry, 2001).

Among researchers guided by exchange theory, the ordinary in everyday lives is a complex outcome of the implementation of the cognitive, material and affective resources that govern power relations. The manipulation of resources is, of course, most crucial in working life and especially in organizations where trust cannot be taken for granted (Blau, 1964;



Coleman, 1986; Cook, 2001). Some studies show how exchange orders varying degrees of intimacy in networks of differentiated density (Granovetter, 1974). From the standpoint of the occupational therapist, Hasselkus (2006: 630) sees a wealth of meaning and beauty in occupations that constitute the 'small experiences of daily life', an important contribution to health and well-being. Evidence for this interpretation can be found in the research on family routines, mealtimes and play, among others, published by the Center on Everyday Lives of Families (CELF) at UCLA with centres in Sweden and Italy (Hasselkus, 2006: 631).

### Qualitative and quantitative studies

Attention to the *ordinary* in everyday life has inspired both qualitative and quantitative approaches to research. Qualitative studies have focused, for the most part, on process. Such are the studies of De Certeau and Morin noted earlier, as well as those of Garfinkel. Among others, qualitative research has been used to demonstrate how households are organized (Pink, 2004; Shove, 2003), how the demands of home and work are balanced (Nippert-Eng, 1996), how everyday life is interwoven with consumption (Chaudhuri, 2010; Devinney, 2010) as well as with art and music (Aguiar, 2010; DeNora, 2000). Similarly, the work of CELF has often been based on qualitative analyses of videotaped scenes from family life (see Sirota, 2003).

The quantification of performance has also been seen as a key means for understanding everyday life. Building on earlier studies, Sorokin and Berger (1939) substantiated their view that collecting diaries in which people note the amount of time they devoted to each type of behaviour in a defined day (time-budgets) provides an important basis for understanding human behaviour. Time-budgets were the basis for studies of unemployed people in Austria in the early 1930s, in the USSR (Strumlin, referenced in Zuzanek, 1980) and in farm households (Vanek, 1974). In 1972, Szalai published the results of a comparative survey of 12 nations begun in the 1960s. Self-reported data about how people divide their time between work and leisure, between paid and unpaid work, have been found to be reliable. Furthermore, this method has many applications for discovering the needs of elderly persons and children, for understanding gender activities, including the sexual division of labour, household economics, tourism, entertainment and leisure, as well as the coordination of the everyday, and the quality of life (see Andorka, 1987; Fisher et al., 2007; Gershuny, 2000; Hubers et al., 2007). This type of research has consistently been descriptive. However, it would appear that there are theoretical possibilities for this type of research which have yet to be developed. When they reanalysed the many studies of time-budgets in everyday life in organizations, for example, Ancona et al. (2001) suggested that there are possibilities of proposing integrative concepts for such temporal research.

### **Future directions**

Despite the fact that everyday life has been important to social theory since the initiation of sociology as a science, the interest in investigating it as a phenomenon in its own right is relatively recent (Highmore, 2002). Attempts to understand the complexities of everyday life begin with comprehensive modelling of how time, space and power interact to provide the infrastructure for lived experience in the everyday, together with methods that will enable researchers to encompass the (dis)order that makes up experiences recognizable as distinct events. Methodologically, quantitative and qualitative studies alike tend to seek exact characterizations of everyday life by pinpointing more and more details that have heretofore been ignored. In its methods, the concern with everyday life is likely, therefore, to converge with the 'messy' investigation advocated in Actor-Network Theory, namely with insistence on mapping everything that is going on in any given minute (Latour, 2005; Law, 2006). But there are still other possibilities. Everyday life is a realm where social scientists find it practical to combine several perspectives. Moreover, the experience of everyday life is constituted by elements that are usually allocated for analysis to different disciplines, among them geography (see many of the references below), psychology, social psychology, anthropology, but also the life sciences, education and even physics and chemistry. The elaboration of tools that fully meet the demands of in-depth research into everyday life may indeed be seen as opening the way to new paths to transdisciplinarity (Denshire, 2010). It is to be hoped that as these approaches unfold, the manifold sociological insights into the manipulation of the structures of everyday life and into the deliberate control of emotion and memory will not be overlooked.

Currently, there are widely different interpretations of everyday life. In many studies, researchers are content to provide insights into details that are likely to be overlooked in the performance of banal routines. The detailed descriptions are indeed enlightening. But even in the 'purely' descriptive studies there is an undercurrent of the critique which impelled the early studies of everyday life. And, as we have seen, many researchers into the forms and



procedures of everyday life highlight complex insights into how everyday life is inevitably patterned to confirm the intricate hegemonic connections that impose capitalism, the market economy and globalization.

## Annotated further reading

- De Certeau M (1984) *The Practice of Everyday Life, Vols 1 and 2.* Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. Looking at the practice of everyday life, De Certeau demonstrates the many limitations that social structures place on free choice. But in addition, he brings evidence from empirical studies to highlight the fact that human beings can, and as a matter of fact, usually do manage to bring about change.
- Garfinkel H (1967) Studies in Ethnomethodology.
  Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
  This pioneering text shows that in everyday life, groups act according to systematic sets of procedures. From his investigations, we learn that these 'ethnomethodologies' are highly compelling, especially because they are rarely examined consciously.
- Goffman E (1997) *The Goffman Reader.* Cambridge: Blackwell.
  - Edited by Charles Lemert and Ann Banaman, this book presents a comprehensive overview of Goffman's writings. The selections combine to provide insights into the dramaturgical qualities of relations at work, conveying information in the simplest types of interaction, and in rituals which are followed to create distinctly framed situations.
- Highmore B (2002) *The Everyday Life Reader.* London and New York: Routledge.
  - Barry Highmore provides a highly readable introduction to the theorization of everyday life by presenting short excerpts from writings of theoreticians of everyday life in the West throughout the 20th century.
- Kalekin-Fishman D and Low K (eds) (2010) Everyday Life in Asia: Sociological Perspectives on the Senses. Farnham: Ashgate.
  - The collection includes analyses of tastes, smells and sounds in everyday life as well as showing the importance of customs and movement in familiar places in Asian milieus of different kinds.
- Law J (2006) After Method: Mess in Social Research. London: Routledge.
  - Going beyond the useless debate about the conflict between quantitative and qualitative methodologies, Law explains how research into the practices and performances of everyday life cannot be constrained to predefined systematic methods.
- Lefebvre H (2003) Key Writings. New York and London: Continuum.
  - Edited by Stuart Elden, Elizabeth Kebas and Eleonore Kofman, this selection from Lefebvre's writings sheds light on his theorization of everyday life in relation to modernization, urbanism and social transformation. The anthology traces the development of Lefebvre's thought during well over half a century.

#### References

- Adorno T and Horkheimer M (1972) *Dialectic of Enlightenment*. New York: Herder and Herder.
- Aguiar JV (2010) Contemporary art and everyday life. Paper presented at the Second Meeting of Young Researchers, Institute of Sociology, Faculty of Arts, University of Porto, Portugal.
- Ancona DG, Okhuysen GA and Perlow LA (2001)
  Taking time to integrate: Temporal research. *The Academy of Management Review* 26(4): 512–529.
- Anderson N (1923) *The Hobo*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Andorka Rudolf (1987) Time budgets and their uses. *Annual Review of Sociology* 13: 149–164.
- Andrade L, Leitäo G, Nunes V and Silva P (2010) Ways of living in Rio de Janeiro: The everyday fight of poor people for the right to the city. Paper presented at the conference 'Everyday Life in the Segmented City', Florence, 22–24 July.
- Barbieri GA and Giacché P (2010) Beyond the data: Exploring the IT tools young and adult people use in their everyday life. ICOTS8 Invited paper. Available at:
  - www.stat.auckland.ac.nz/~iase/publications/icots8/IC OTS8\_10E1\_BARBIERI.pdf.
- Bell C and Roberts H (eds) (1984) *Social Researching: Politics, Problems, Practice.* London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Berger P and Luckmann T (1972) *The Social*Construction of Reality. Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Bertaux D (1981) Biography and Society: The Life History Approach in the Social Sciences. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Blau PM (1964) Exchange and Power in Social Life. New York: Wiley.
- Blumer H (1969) Symbolic Interactionism: Perspectives and Method. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Boniburini I and Moretto L (2010) The right to the city: Counter-hegemonic practices and imaginaries in Nairobi and Caracas. Paper presented at the conference 'Everyday Life in the Segmented City', Florence, 22–24 July.
- Bourdieu P (1977) *Outline of a Theory of Practice*, trans. R Nice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bourdieu P (1990) *In Other Words: Essays Towards a Reflexive Sociology*, trans. M Adamson. Cambridge: Polity.
- Braesler Y and Tweedie J (eds) (2010) Cinema at the City's Edge: Film and Urban Networks in East Asia. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University.
- Bridge G and Watson S (2011) *The New Blackwell Companion to the City.* Oxford: Blackwell.
- Buck-Morris S (1986) The flaneur, the sandwichman and the whore: The politics of loitering. *New German Critique* (Second special issue on Walter Benjamin) 39: 99–140.
- Büscher M and Urry J (2009) Mobile methods and the empirical. *European Journal of Social Theory* 12: 99–115.
- Byrne CR (2010) Habitable cities: Modernism, urban



- space, and everyday life. Doctoral dissertation, Dalhousie University, Nova Scotia; dalspace.library.dal.ca:8080/
- Campbell ML (2002) Mapping Social Relations: A Primer in Doing Institutional Ethnography. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- CCCS Women's Study Group. (1978) Women Take Issue. London: Hutchinson.
- Chaudhuri HR (2010) Everyday life of the subaltern consumers: Contexts, realities, and issues for marketing. *The Marketing Review* 10(3): 259–267.
- Clarke J, Critcher C and Johnson R (eds) (1979) Working Class Culture. London: Hutchinson, in association with the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, University of Birmingham.
- Cohen S and Taylor L (1993 [1976]) Escape Attempts: The Theory and Practice of Resistance to Everyday Life. London: Sage.
- Coleman JS (1986) *Individual Interests and Collective Action*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Collins PH (1998) Fighting Words: Black Women and the Search for Justice. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Colombo E (2010) Changing citizenship: Everyday representations of membership, belonging and identification among Italian secondary school students. *Italian Journal of Sociology of Education* 4(1): 129–153.
- Connell R (2007) Southern Theory: The Global Dynamics of Knowledge in Social Science. Crows Nest, Australia: Allen and Unwin.
- Cook K (ed.) (2001) *Trust in Society.* New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Cope M (2004) Placing gendered political acts. In: Staeheli LA, Kofman E and Peake LJ (eds) *Mapping Women, Making Politics: Perspectives on Political Geography.* New York and Abingdon: Routledge, 71–86
- Cotterill P and Letherby G (1993) Weaving stories: Personal auto/biographies in feminist research. *Sociology* 27: 67–79.
- Cressey PG (1932) *The Taxi-Dance Hall.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Dampier H (2005) The treatment of 'everyday life' in memory and narrative of the concentration camps of the South African War, 1899–1902. *Narrative, Memory and Everyday Life.* Huddersfield: University of Huddersfield,187-98. Available at: eprints.hud.ac.uk/4951/.
- Debord G (1983) *The Society of the Spectacle*, trans. K Knabb. London: Rebel Press.
- De Certeau M (1984) *The Practice of Everyday Life*, trans. T Conley. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- De Certeau M, Giard L and Mayol P (1998) *The Practice of Everyday Life. Volume 2: Living and Cooking*, trans. TJ Tomasik. Minnesota and London: University of Minnesota Press.
- Deegan MJ (2001) The Chicago School of ethnography. In: Atkinson P, Coffey A, Delamont S, Lofland J and

- Lofland L (eds) *Handbook of Ethnography.* London: Sage, 11–25.
- DeNora T (2000) *Music in Everyday Life.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Denshire S (2010) The art of 'writing in' the hospital under-life: Auto-ethnographic reflections on subjugated knowledges in everyday practice. *Reflective Practice: International and Multidisciplinary Perspectives* 11(4): 529–544.
- Devinney TM (2010) The consumer, politics and every-day life. *Australasian Marketing Journal* 18(3): 190–194.
- Dolby N, Dimitriadis G with Willis P (eds) (2004) Learning to Labor in New Times. New York: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Donovan FR (1929) *The Saleslady.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Durkheim E (1951 [1897]) Suicide: A Study in Sociology, trans. JH Spaulding and G Simpson. New York: Macmillan/The Free Press.
- Durkheim E (1965 [1912]) *The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life*, trans. JW Swain. New York: Simon and Schuster/The Free Press.
- Durkheim E (1984 [1893]) *The Division of Labor in Society*, trans. WD Halls. New York: Macmillan/The Free Press.
- Elias N (1994) *The Civilizing Process: Sociogenetic and Psychogenetic Investigations*, trans. E Jephcott. Cambridge: Blackwell.
- Ellis C (2004) The Ethnographic I: A Methodological Novel about Autoethnography. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira.
- Emelyanenko TG (2010) Artifacts of the traditional everyday-life culture of the Bukhara Jews in museum collections: The particularities of fulfilment. *Étnografi eskoe obozrenie* 3: 66–76 [in Russian].
- Enloe C (2007) Globalisation and Militarism: Feminists Make the Link. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Ezzy D (2010) Qualitative interviewing as an embodied emotional performance. *Qualitative Inquiry* 16(3): 163–170.
- Fanon F (1967 [1952]) *Black Skin, White Masks*, trans. CL Markmann. New York: Grove.
- Featherstone M (1982) The body in consumer culture. *Theory, Culture, and Society* 1(1): 18–33.
- Fessler VL and Silveira CB (2010) The redesign of the segmented city: People's action in Rio de Janeiro. Paper presented at the conference 'Everyday Life in the Segmented City', Florence, 22–24 July.
- Fisher K, Egerton M, Gershuny JI and Robinson JP (2007) Gender convergence in the American Heritage Time Use Study (AHTUS). *Social Indicators Research* 82: 1–33.
- Foucault M (1980) Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972–1977, trans. C Gordon. New York: Pantheon.
- Foucault M (1982) *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*, trans. A Sheridan. Harmondsworth: Penguin.



- Foucault M (1984) The History of Sexuality, Volume One: An Introduction, trans. R Hurley. Harmondsworth:
- Franklin M (2004) Postcolonial Politics: The Internet and Everyday Life: Pacific Traversals Online. New York and Abingdon: Routledge.
- Frazier EF (1932) The Negro Family in Chicago. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Garfinkel H (1967) Studies in Ethnomethodology. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Garton S, Robertson S, White G and White S (2010) This Harlem life: Black families and everyday life in the 1920s and 1930s. Journal of Social History 44(1): 97-122.
- Gershuny J (2000) Changing Times: Work and Leisure in Postindustrial Society. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Giddens A (1993) New Rules of Sociological Method, rev. edn. Cambridge: Polity.
- Goffman E (1959) The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life. New York: Doubleday Anchor Books.
- Goffman E (1997) The Goffman Reader, ed. C Lemert and A Banaman. Cambridge: Blackwell.
- Gorlashvili N (2010) Urban tourism in Tbilisi as the main branch of the cultural tourism. Paper presented at the conference 'Everyday Life in the Segmented City', Florence, 22–24 July.
- Gramsci A (1992) The Prison Notebooks, trans. J Buttigieg. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Granovetter M (1974) Getting a Job: A Foundation Study of Contacts and Careers. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Groppi A and Pelaja M (1983) Le côté quotidian de l'exceptionnel: Les crimes des femmes à Rome à la fin du XIXe siècle [The exceptional in everyday life: Crimes by women in Rome at the end of the 19th century] In: Fritsch P (ed.) Le Sens de l'ordinaire. Paris: CNRS, 74-84.
- Grummell B (2010) Filtering informal learning in everyday life: Invoking ordinariness and moving to civic engagement. International Journal of Lifelong Education 29(5): 565-579.
- Guridy F and Burgos A (2010) Beyond El Barrio: Everyday Life in Latinalo America. New York: New York University Press.
- Habermas Jurgen (1987 [1981]) Lifeworld and System: A Critique of Functionalist Reason. Boston, MA: Beacon
- Hall S and Jefferson T (2006 [1975]) Resistance through Rituals: Youth Cultures in Post-War Britain. London: Routledge.
- Hall S, Hobson D, Lowe A and Willis P (2005 [1980]) Culture, Media Language: Working Papers in Cultural Studies 1972–1979. London: Taylor and Francis e-Library.
- Hansen KT, Dalsgaard AL and Gough K (eds) (2010) Youth and the City in the Global South. Bloomington, IN: Indianapolis University Press.
- Hasselkus BR (2006) The world of everyday occupation: Real people, real lives. American Journal of Occupational Therapy 60: 627–640.
- Haupt HG (1983) Historicité et quotidienneté: dif-

- férentes approches pour analyser la petite bourgeoisie allemande au XIXe et au XXe siècles [Historicity and 'everydayness': Different approaches for analysing the German lower middle class in the 19th and 20th centuries]. In: Fritsch P (ed.) Le Sens de l'ordinaire. Paris: CNRS, 85-90.
- Highmore B (2002) The Everyday Life Reader. London and New York: Routledge.
- Hiller ET (1928) The Strike. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Homans GC (1959) The Human Group. London: Routledge.
- Hubers C, Schwanen T and Dijst M (2007) With a little help from my friends: Social strategies for combining employment and caregiving. Presentation at a Colloquium of the Human Geography and Planning Conference, Antwerpen, 22–23 November. Available at: igitur-archive.library.uu.nl/socgeoplan/2008-1210-
- Ilkay Y (2010) A class-based analysis of the perception, experience, and reproduction of urban parks in Ankara. Paper presented at the conference 'Everyday Life in the Segmented City', Florence, 22–24 July.
- Jackson N and Carter P (2010) A IX E F: Symbols in the everyday life of the city. Culture and Organization 16(3): 247-258.
- Kalekin-Fishman D (2010) Sounds that unite, sounds that divide: Pervasive rituals in a Middle Eastern society. In: Kalekin-Fishman D and Low K (eds) Everyday Life in Asia: Social Perspectives on the Senses. Farnham: Ashgate, 19-39.
- Kalekin-Fishman D and Low K (eds) (2010) Everyday Life in Asia: Sociological Perspectives on the Senses. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Keene D (2008) Chronicles of My Life: An American in the Heart of Japan. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Kwan S (2010) Navigating public spaces: Gender, race, and body privilege in everyday life. Feminist Formations 22(2): 144-166.
- Latour B (2005) Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Law J (2006) After Method: Mess in Social Science Research. London: Routledge.
- Lefebvre H (2003) Key Writings, ed. S Elden, E Lebas and E Kofman. New York and London: Continuum.
- Leidner R (1993) Fast Food, Fast Talk: Service Work and the Routinization of Everyday Life. Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Leslie E (1988) Walter Benjamin: Overpowering Conformism. London: Pluto Press.
- Lopez AM (2010) The cadaverous city: The everyday life of the dead in Mexico City, 1875-1930. University of Arizona. Available at:
- gradworks.umi.com/34/02/3402932.html. Maffesoli M (1987) The sociology of everyday life (epistemological elements). Current Sociology 37(1): 1-16.
- Mannheim K (1935) *Ideology and Utopia*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Marx K (1975 [1844]) Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts of 1844. In: Marx K and Engels F,



- Collected Works, Volume 3. New York: International Publishers.
- Marx K and Engels F (1975 [1848]) Manifesto of the Communist Party In: Marx K and Engels F, *Collected Works, Volume 6.* New York: International Publishers.
- Massey D (2005) For Space. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. Mbembe A and Nuttall S (eds) (2004) Writing the World from an African Metropolis. Special issue of Public Culture 16(3).
- Mead GH (1934) Mind, Self, and Society. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Mehan H and Wood H (1983) *The Reality of Ethnomethodology.* Malabar, FL: RE Krieger.
- Merton RK (1968) *Social Theory and Social Structure*. New York and London: The Free Press.
- Meschkank J (2011) Investigations into slum tourism in Membai: Poverty tourism and the tensions between different constructions of reality. *GeoJournal* 76: 47–62
- Mohanty CT (2011) Imperial democracies, militarized zones, feminist engagements. *Economic and Political Weekly* XLVI(13): 76–84.
- Morin E with Paillard B, Burguière CC, de Lusignan S and Vérone J (1971) *Rumour in Orléans*, trans. P Green. London: Anthony Blond.
- Nettleton S and Watson J (1998) *The Body in Everyday Life.* New York and London: Routledge.
- Nippert-Eng CE (1996) *Home and Work.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Olson L (2011) Everyday life studies: A review. *Modernism/Modernity* 18(1): 175–180. Available at: muse.jhu.edu.
- Owens A, Jeffries N, Wehner K and Featherby R (2010) Fragments of the modern city: Material culture and the rhythms of everyday life in Victorian London. *Journal of Victorian Culture* 15(2): 212–225.
- Parsons T (1949) *The Structure of Social Action.* New York and London: The Free Press.
- Pasman G and Mulder I (2010) Bringing everyday life into engineering education. *Education Engineering* (EDUCON), IEEE, 14–16 April: 1433–1440.
- Pawlikowska-Piechotka A (2010) Tourism and multicultural clusters of Praga district in Warsaw. Paper presented at the conference 'Everyday Life in the Segmented City', Florence, 22–24 July.
- Pink S (2004) *Home Truths: Gender, Domestic Objects and Everyday Life.* Oxford and New York: Berg.
- Reinharz S (1992) Feminist Methods in Social Research. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rigg J (2002) An Everyday Geography of the Global South. London: Routledge.
- Rosenthal G (1995) Erlebte und erzählte Lebensgeschichte [Life Stories Experienced and Retold] . Frankfurt am Main: Campus.
- Rosenthal G (2004) Biographical research. In: Seale C, Gobo G, Gubrium JF and Silverman D (eds) Qualitative Research Practice. London: Sage, 48–64.
- Rousseau J-J (2007 [1762]) Of the social contract. In: Calhoun C, Gergeis J, Moody J, Pfaff S and Virk I (eds) Classical Social Theory, 2nd edn. Cambridge: Blackwell, 28–38.

- Sacks H (1995) Lectures on Conversation, ed. G Jefferson. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Safi M (2010) Immigrants' life satisfaction in Europe: Between assimilation and discrimination. *European Sociological Review* 26(2): 159–176.
- Schegloff EA (2007) A tutorial on membership categorization. *Journal of Pragmatics* 39: 462–482.
- Scheper-Hughes N (1992) Death without Weeping: The Violence of Everyday Life in Brazil. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Schulz MS (2009) Modes of structured interplay in the modeling of digital futures, In: Denis A and Kalekin-Fishman D (eds) *The ISA Handbook in Contemporary Sociology.* London: Sage, 291–304.
- Schütz A (1967) Collected Papers. The Hague: Martinus Niihoff.
- Shaw CR and Moore ME (1931) *The Natural History of a Delinquent Career.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Sherman RC (1997) Social psychology in cyberspace: Using the world wide web to teach applications of social principles to everyday life. Paper presented to the Society for Computers in Psychology, Philadelphia, 20 November.
- Shevchenko O (2009) Crisis and the Everyday in Post-Socialist Moscow. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Shove E (2003) Comfort, Cleanliness and Convenience: The Social Organization of Normality. Oxford and New York: Berg.
- Silverstone R (1994) *Television and Everyday Life*. Abingdon and New York: Routledge.
- Simmel G (1971) On Individuality and Social Forms, ed. DN Levine. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago
- Sirota KG (2003) Doing things with play: The play of everyday life. Working Paper No. 16, UCLA Sloan Center on Everyday Lives of Families, Los Angeles. Available at: www.ucla.edu/celf/pages.
- Small ML (2009) Unanticipated Gains: Origins of Network Inequality in Everyday Life. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Smith A (1937 [1776]) An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations. New York: Random House.
- Smith DE (1988) *The Everyday World as Problematic: A Feminist Sociology.* Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Smith DE (2005) *Institutional Ethnography: A Sociology* for People. Toronto: AltaMira.
- Smyth L and McKnight M (2011) Class, Gender and Ethno-Nationality in Post-Conflict Belfast: Everyday Life and the Possibility of Civility: The Selected Works of Lisa Smyth. Available at: works.bepress.com/lisa\_smyth/17.
- Song YC (2010) The everyday life of Muslims in Japan. Available at: www.hurights.or.jp/asia-pacific/052/04.html.
- Sorokin PA and Berger CQ (1939) *Time Budgets of Human Behavior.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.



- Spry T (2001) Performing autoethnography: An embodied methodological praxis. *Qualitative Inquiry* 7: 706–732.
- Stärke D, Kramer S and Eylert B (2011) Mobile phone as an everyday life style object: The move from 'plain old mobile' to 'electronic brain in your pocket.

  Working Paper 2011.5, Technische Fachhochschule Wildau, Germany. Available at: opus.kobv.de/tfhwildau/volltexte/2011/106/.
- Strömgen M, Tammaru T, Van Ham M, Marcinczak S, Stjernström O and Lindgren U (2011) Pre-hire factors and workplace ethnic segregation. Discussion Paper No. 5622, Institute for the Study of Labour (IZA), Bonn.
- Szalai A (ed.) (1972) The Use of Time: Daily Activities of Urban and Suburban Populations in Twelve Countries. The Hague and Paris: Mouton.
- Sztompka P (1999) *Trust: A Sociological Theory.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sztompka P (2008) The focus on everyday life: A new turn in sociology. *European Review 16*(1): 23–37.
- Tammaru T, Strömgen M, Stjernström O and Lindgren U (2010) Learning through contact? The effects on earnings of immigrant exposure to the native population. *Environment and Planning A* 42: 2938–2955.
- Terry DP (2010) Global co-incidence: 'Ontos' poetics of

- the worldwide. *Text and Performance Quarterly* 30: 335-355.
- Thompson EP (1964) The Making of the English Working Class. London: Victor Gollancz.
- Tuan Y-f (1977) Space and Place: The Perspective of Experience. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Vanek J (1974) Time spent in housework. Scientific American 11: 116-20.
- Wellman B and Haythornthwaite C (eds) (2002) *The Internet in Everyday Life.* Oxford: Blackwell.
- Wiegel S (1996) *Body and Image-Space: Re-reading Walter Benjamin.* Abingdon and New York: Routledge.
- Williams R (1958) *Culture and Society.* Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Willis P (1977) Learning to Labour: How Working Class Kids Get Working Class Jobs. Farnborough: Saxon House.
- Willis P (1978) *Profane Culture.* London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Zerubavel E (2006) The Elephant in the Room: Silence and Denial in Everyday Life. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Zuzanek J (1980) Work and Leisure in the Soviet Union: A Time-Budget Analysis. New York: Praeger.

**Devorah Kalekin-Fishman** founding editor of the *International Sociology Review of Books* and ISA Vice-President for Publications (2006–10), has studied how sounds are shaped in everyday life especially in relation to alienation. She is currently studying everyday life in kindergartens as a key to socialization. [email: dkalekin@edu.haifa.ac.il]

**résumé** La vie quotidienne a inspiré beaucoup de théorie sociologique, et est maintenant reconnue comme rameau de la discipline. Ici, nous traçons le saillant de la vie quotidienne en la théorie sociologique générale, regardons d'une façon critique aux théories spécifiques à la vie quotidienne; et examinons alors la recherche récente. Dans la fermeture, nous regardons vers l'avenir du domaine.

mots-clés corps ◆ émotions ◆ expériences urbaines ◆ idéologie ◆ normalité ◆ sens ◆ vie quotidienne

**resumen** La vida diaria ha inspirado mucha teoría sociológica y es ahora una rama aprobada de la disciplina. Aquí remontamos pruebas del saliente de vida diaria en la teoría general sociológica, miramos críticamente a teorías específicas a la vida diaria; entonces encueste la investigación reciente. En el cierre, tenemos una visión del futuro del campo.

**palabras claves** cuerpo ◆ emociones ◆ experiencias urbanas ◆ ideología ◆ normalidad ◆ sentidos ◆ vida diaria